

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/817,655	04/02/2004	Paul E. Cook	1268.3003.002	3396
41242 7590 07/724/2008 WILLIAM J. SCHRAMM, P.C. 2674 BROWNING DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			PHASGE, ARUN S	
LAKE ORION, MI 48360			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/817.655 COOK ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Arun S. Phasge 1795 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 April 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/817,655

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-4, 8-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim of record for reasons of record in view of Carlson, U.S. Patent 3.650.925.

The Kim patent while disclosing the use of the recovered deposited metal by returning said metal back to the plating bath does not disclose that the metal and cathode are used as a source of metal to be deposited in the plating process.

The Carlson patent is cited to show the recovery of metal deposited onto a cathode by using the cathode as an anode to dissolve the metal into the electroplating solution as claimed (see col. 2, lines 22-33). The reversal of the polarity would remove some of the metallic cathode as well.

Consequently, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the disclosure of the Kim patent with the teachings of the Carlson patent, because the Carlson patent teaches that conventionally the metal deposited upon a cathode is removed by reversal of polarity to form an anode to re-dissolve the metal into an electroplating solution.

Claims 5-7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim in view of Carlson as applied to claims above, and further in view of De Nora of record for reasons of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,655

Art Unit: 1795

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments filed 4/16/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the revised claim 12 uses nickel cathode with nickel ions deposited thereon. However, the claims do not require nickel cathodes.

Applicants further argue that the use of the particular type of cathode is important based upon the type of plating that is required. However, there is no claim basis for such an argument.

Applicants further argue regarding the combination of references. An express suggestion for modifying or combining the references relied upon need not be contained in any of the individual references because the proper question to be asked (and answered) is what do the references collectively suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art? In re Lindell, 155 U.S.P.Q. 21; In re Rinehart, 189 U.S.P.Q. 143; In re Baranakas, 158 U.S.P.Q. 24; In re Lamberti et al, 192 U.S.P.Q. 78; In re Luck et al, 177 U.S.P.Q. 523; In re Keller et al 208 U.S.P.Q. 871.

Selection and application of pertinent prior art by an Examiner is his duty and is not hindsight reconstruction. In re Winston, 151 U.S.P.Q. 48; Union Carbide vs. American Can. Corp., 220 U.S.P.Q. 584.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,655

Art Unit: 1795

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,655 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Arun S. Phasge/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

asp